PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 24.03.2003 23.03.2004 PCT/GB2004/001270 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L51/20, H01L27/15 Applicant MICROEMISSIVE DISPLAYS LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Basis of the opinion Box No. 1 Box No. Ⅱ Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Faou, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4992

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001270

JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 SEP 2005

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search index Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With renecess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing .
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	at of material:
		in written format
	· 🗆	in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha cc	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	nal comments:

	Box No. II	Priority			
1.		lowing document ha	s not beer	n furnished	l:
	🗵	copy of the earlier	application	whose pri	iority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the ea	arlier appli	cation who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Conse neverti	quently it has not be heless been establis	en possibleshed on the	le to conside assumpti	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	has be	pinion has been esta en found invalid (Ru ate indicated above	ıles 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64.1	ity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international he relevant date.
3.	Additional of	observations, if nece	essary:		
3.	Additional	observations, if nece	essary:		
3.	Additional	observations, if nece	essary:		
3. —	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
3.	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
	Box No. V industrial Statement	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement 2.4-7.11.18-21.27-32
	Box No. V industrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement
	Box No. V industrial Statement Novelty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,4-7,11,18-21,27-32
	Box No. V industrial Statement	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims	2,4-7,11,18-21,27-32 1,3,8-10,12-17,22-26
	Box No. V industrial Statement Novelty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,4-7,11,18-21,27-32
	Box No. V industrial Statement Novelty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und ons and e Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	2,4-7,11,18-21,27-32 1,3,8-10,12-17,22-26

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2002/170175 A1 (AIGNER ROBERT ET AL) 21 November 2002

D2a: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 2003, no. 04, 2 April 2003 D2b: JP 2002 352951 A (TOHOKU PIONEER CORP), 6 December 2002

D3: US 2001/052752 A1 (GHOSH AMALKUMAR P ET AL) 20 December 2001

1.
Claim 27 defines an optoelectronic device in terms of method steps. It is not clear, firstly what special device feature (structural features) would be imparted by the previous method claims. Furthermore, the formulation of a device in terms of method steps also throws doubt as to the category of the claim (process or device) which thereby renders the claim unclear, contrary to requirements of Article 6 PCT.

2.

2.1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1,3,8-10,12-17 and 22-26 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method of forming a semiconductor device comprising:

- providing a semiconductor substrate (paragraph 22) comprising circuitry and terminal means for establishing electrical connection to circuitry (paragraphs 6-9)
- providing a sheet for forming a further layer of the device, the sheet comprising at least one groove (figure 1, paragraph 51-54)
- applying adhesive to at least one of said substrate and said sheet (paragraph 23)
- aligning said substrate and said sheet in a position such that said at least one groove faces said terminal means (paragraph 19)
- attaching said substrate and said sheet together by means of said adhesive in said position (paragraph 23)

Moreover, document D1 describes all additional features of claims 3 (figure 9),8 (see figures 1-3, paragraphs 51-54), 9 (figures 9, 10), 10 (paragraph 33), 12 (paragraph 33), 13 (figures 1-3), 14 (paragraph 51), 15 (figure 9).

Document D1 discloses all features of claims 1,3,8-10,12-15, therefore the subject-matter of these claims is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/001270

The same reasoning can be applied to the corresponding independant product claim 16 and its dependant claims 17, 22-26.

Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims are also considered not new.

3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 2, 4-7, 11, 18-21 and 27-32 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

3.1

The subject-matter of claim 4 differs from D1 in that the method is applied to organic light emitting diodes.

D1 discloses a method for encapsulating devices, i.e micromechanical structures, which need to be protected from environmental influences (see paragraph 5). It is however clear for the skilled person that this method can be generalized and applied to any semiconductor devices sensitive to environmental influences, e.g OLEDs. As can be seen from D2a-b, encapsulated OLED structures are produced in a similar way as described by D1. D2a-b describe a method of attaching a wafer to a sealing component and subsequently dicing the structure in individual OLED components at groove positions (see figure 1). Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 4 is an obvious application of the method disclosed by D1.

Thus, the subject-matter of this claim does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

3.2

The subject-matter of claims 2, 5 and 6 are disclosed in D2b, consequently for the same reasons as paragraph 3.1, the subject-matter of these claims lacks an inventive step.

- 3.3
 The subject-matter of claim 7 refers to an obvious design possibility for organic light emitting devices, see for instance document D3. Therefore, the subject-matter of this claim also appears to lack an inventive step.
- 3.4The same reasoning can be applied to the corresponding product claims 18-21 and 27-32. Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims does not involve an inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001270

3.5

The subject-matter of claim 11 differs from D1 in that the adhesive is applied to the entire surface of the sheet. However, this feature refers to one of the possibilities to bond two substrates, from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill. Therefore, the subject-matter of this claim does not involve an inventive step.